Infinite (and finite) square well potentials

#### Announcements:

Homework set #8 is posted this afternoon and due on Wednesday. Note I received an email from a student that problem 5c had a typo and should say exp(-iEt/ hbar). I corrected the homework set this morning.

Second Midterm is Thursday, Nov. 7 – 7:30 – 9:00 pm in this room.

Some wave function rules

 $\psi(x)$  and  $d\psi(x)/dx$  must be continuous

These requirements are used to match boundary conditions.

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$$
 must be properly normalized  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$ 

This is necessary to be able to interpret  $|\psi(x)|^2$  as the probability density

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \pm \infty$$

This is required to be able to normalize  $\psi(x)$ 

= 1

#### Infinite square well (particle in a box) solution

After applying boundary conditions we found  $\psi(x) = B\sin(kx)$ and  $k = \frac{n\pi}{a}$  which gives us an energy of  $E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2ma^2}$ Things to notice: Energy Energies are quantized. Minimum energy  $E_1$  is <u>**not**</u> zero. 16E₁ n=4 Consistent with uncertainty principle. x is between 0 and a so  $\Delta x \sim a/2$ . Since  $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$ , must be uncertainty 9E1 n=3 in p. But if E=0 then p=0 so  $\Delta p=0$ , violating the uncertainty principle. 4E1 n=2 When a is large, energy levels get  $E_1$ n=1 closer so energy becomes more like V=0а X  $\mathbf{0}$ continuum (like classical result).

# Finishing the infinite square well

We need to normalize  $\psi(x)$ . That is, make sure that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1$ 

For the region x<0 and x>a the probability  $|\psi| \int_{0}^{a} |\psi(x)|^{2} dx = 1$ (x)|<sup>2</sup> is zero so we just need to ensure that

Putting in  $\psi(x) = B \sin(kx)$  and doing the integral we find  $B = \sqrt{2/a}$ 

Therefore  $\psi(x) = \sqrt{2/a} \sin(kx)$ 

But we also know  $k = n\pi / a$ 

So we can write the solution as  $\psi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$ 

Adding in the time  $\Psi(x,t) = \psi(x)\phi(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) - iEt/\hbar$  dependence:

Is it still normalized?  $\phi^*(t) \phi(t)$  is not a function of x so can pull out of the integral and find  $\phi^*(t)\phi(t) = e^{iEt/\hbar}e^{-iEt/\hbar} = 1$ 

So the time dependent piece is already normalized.



Am I more likely to find the particle close to a/2 in the n=2 or n=3 state?

A. n=2 state B. n=3 state C. No difference



Am I more likely to find the particle close to a/2 in the n=2 or n=3 state?

For n=2 state:  $|\psi_2(a/2)|^2 = 0$ For n=3 state:  $|\psi_3(a/2)|^2 = 2/a$ 

http://www.colorado.edu/physics/phys2170/ Physics 2170 – Fall 2013

A. n=2 state

B. n=3 state

C. No difference

6



#### Comparing classical and quantum results $\Psi(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{\alpha}\right) - iE_n t / \hbar$ $E_n = n^2 \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2ma^2} = n^2 E_1$ 3 $9E_1$ $4E_1$ 2 Note: time dependence depends on energy $E_1$ 0 V = 0a xuantum physics Classical physics Particle can only have Particle can have any energy particular energies (quantized) Lowest kinetic energy is 0 Lowest energy state in box has (particle is at rest) kinetic energy (zero point motion) How small would a box need to be for $E_1$ to be 4.7 eV? $a = \frac{n\pi\hbar}{\sqrt{2mE_n}} = \frac{\pi(6.58 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eVs})(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})}{\sqrt{2(0.511 \times 10^6 \text{ eV})(4.7 \text{ eV})}} = 2.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$

About the size of an atom so our model wouldn't work anyway

#### **Reading Quiz 1**

Please answer this question on your own. No discussion until after.

Q. Classically forbidden regions are where...

- A. a particle's total energy is less than its kinetic energy
- B. a particle's total energy is greater than its kinetic energy
- C. a particle's total energy is less than its potential energy
- D. a particle's total energy is greater than its potential energy
- E. None of the above.

**Reading Quiz 1** 

Please answer this question on your own. No discussion until after.

Q. Classically forbidden regions are where...

A. a particle's total energy is less than its kinetic energy

B. a particle's total energy is greater than its kinetic energy

C. a particle's total energy is less than its potential energy

D. a particle's total energy is greater than its potential energy E. None of the above.

This would imply that the kinetic energy is negative which is forbidden (at least classically).

#### Motivation for a *finite* square well



These scenarios require the more accurate potential



This will be used to understand *quantum tunneling* which provides the basis for understanding

Radioactive decay

Scanning Tunneling Microscope which is used to study surfaces

**Binding of molecules** 



http://www.colorado.edu/physics/phys2170/ Physics 2170 – Fall 2013





Assume  $\alpha > 0$ . Then for Region III,  $e^{\alpha x}$  gives exponential growth and  $e^{-\alpha x}$  gives exponential decay

Region III:  $\psi_{III}(x) = Ce^{\alpha x} + De^{-\alpha x}$ 

## Clicker question 3 :he finiteseq frage enrey to DA



What will the wave function in Region III look like? What can we say about the constants *C* and *D* (assuming  $\alpha$ >0)?

- A. C = 0
- B. D = 0
- C. C = D
- D. C = D = 0

E. C & D can be anything; need more information

#### Clicker question 3 :he finiteseq frage enrey to DA



What will the wave function in Region III look like? What can we say about the constants *C* and *D* (assuming  $\alpha$ >0)?

A. C = 0If  $C \neq 0$  then  $Ce^{\alpha x} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ B. D = 0Makes it impossible to normalizeC. C = D $For D \neq 0 De^{-\alpha x} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  so it is OK.E. C & D can be anything; need more information

## Clicker question 4 :he finiteseq frage aney to DA



What will the wave function in Region I look like? What can we say about the constants *E* and *F* (assuming  $\alpha$ >0)?

A. E = 0B. F = 0C. E = FD. E = F = 0E. E & F can be anything; need more information

## Clicker question 4 :he finiteseq frage enrey to DA



What will the wave function in Region I look like? What can we say about the constants *E* and *F* (assuming  $\alpha$ >0)?

A. E = 0If  $F \neq 0$  then  $Fe^{-\alpha x} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ B. F = 0Makes it impossible to normalizeC. E = F $For E \neq 0$ D. E = F = 0For  $E \neq 0$ E. E & F can be anything; need more information

# Matching boundary conditions wire 4.7 eV V(x) Energy → X **Region** I **Region II Region III** $\psi_{\mathrm{I}}(x) = Ee^{\alpha x} \quad \left| \psi_{\mathrm{II}}(x) = A\cos(kx) + B\sin(kx) \right| \quad \psi_{\mathrm{III}}(x) = De^{-\alpha x}$ Matching boundary conditions at x=0 and x=a requires: $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is continuous so $\psi_{\mathrm{I}}(0) = \psi_{\mathrm{II}}(0)$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{II}}(a) = \psi_{\mathrm{III}}(a)$ $\frac{d\psi(x)}{dx}$ is continuous so $\frac{d\psi_{I}(0)}{dx} = \frac{d\psi_{II}(0)}{dx}$ and $\frac{d\psi_{II}(a)}{dx} = \frac{d\psi_{III}(a)}{dx}$

http://www.colorado.edu/physics/phys2170/ Physics 2170 – Fall 2013

## Matching boundary conditions



We won't actually work out the math; we'll just look at results.



In the classically forbidden regions, the particle has total energy **less than** the **potential energy**!

# Comparison of infinite and finite potential wells

