A qubit model for U(1) lattice gauge theory

R. Lewis and R.M. Woloshyn

Quantum computers offer advantages such as:

- quantum parallelism,
- access to real-time dynamics.

We are using classical simulators to explore an implementation of U(1) gauge fields.

outline

- from action to Hamiltonian
- electric field eigenstates
- energy eigenvalues
- the Hamiltonian expressed in Pauli operators
- real-time propagation
- real-time collisions 🛧 🛃

from action to Hamiltonian

review:Kogut,Rev.Mod.Phys.51,659(1979)

The Euclidean lattice action is a sum over all plaquettes,

$$S = -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{P} \left(U_P + U_P^* \right)$$

where $\beta = 1/g^2$ and $U_P = e^{i\theta_\mu(n)}e^{i\theta_\nu(n+\hat{\mu})}e^{-i\theta_\mu(n+\hat{\nu})}e^{-i\theta_\nu(n)}$.

To convert this into a Hamiltonian, let $\theta_{\mu}(n) = agA_{\mu}(n)$. Choosing the temporal gauge ($\theta_t(n) = 0$), and sliding the temporal lattice spacing to zero brings us to the Hamiltonian for compact U(1) gauge theory:

$$H = \sum_{n} \left(\frac{a^3}{2} \vec{E}^2(n) - \frac{\beta}{a} \sum_{i=2}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \cos\left(\theta_i(n) + \theta_j(n+\hat{i}) - \theta_i(n+\hat{j}) - \theta_j(n)\right) \right)$$

where $\vec{E} = \partial_t \vec{A}$ is the electric field.

electric field eigenstates

review:Kogut,Rev.Mod.Phys.51,659(1979)

The conjugate momentum for $\vec{\theta}$ (name it \vec{L}) is proportional to \vec{E} . Angles constrained to $[0, 2\pi)$ produce quantized conjugate momentum eigenvalues:

$$\ell_i(n) = \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

An immediate consequence is that link variables $(U_j = e^{i\theta_j})$ are ladder operators because

$$[U_j,L_j]=-U_j$$
 and $[U_j^\dagger,L_j]=U_j^\dagger$

The Hamiltonian can now be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2a\beta} \left(\sum_{j} L_{j}^{2} - \beta^{2} \sum_{P} (U_{P} + U_{P}^{\dagger}) \right)$$

Let's rescale energies by dropping the overall factor of $\frac{1}{2a\beta}$ from now on.

the simplest example

A 2×2 lattice with Dirichlet boundary conditions has only one plaquette so

$$H = L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 + L_4^2 - \beta^2 (U_1 U_2 U_3^{\dagger} U_4^{\dagger} + U_1^{\dagger} U_2^{\dagger} U_3 U_4)$$

Gauss's law requires L_i^2 is the same for all 4 links. Local gauge transformations allow 3 links to be rotated to the identity. This brings us to

$$H = 4L^2 - \beta^2 (L^+ + L^-)$$

where L^{\pm} are the ladder operators. Truncating to $-4 \leq \ell \leq 4$ gives

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 64 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta^2 & 36 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta^2 & 16 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 4 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 4 & -\beta^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 16 & -\beta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 36 & -\beta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^2 & 64 \end{pmatrix}$$

energy eigenvalues for the 2×2 lattice



writing the Hamiltonian with Pauli operators

For a qubit-inefficient alternative, see Byrnes&Yamamoto, PRA73, 022328(2006)

To run someday on a quantum computer (and today on a classical simulator), the states can be mapped to qubits, and operators expressed as Pauli products.

2 qubits per gauge link

ℓ	state
2	$ 00\rangle$
1	$ 01\rangle$
0	$ 10\rangle$
-1	$ 11\rangle$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L^+ &=& \sigma_0^+ + \sigma_0^- \sigma_1^+ \\ L^- &=& \sigma_0^- + \sigma_0^+ \sigma_1^- \\ L &=& \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sigma_0^z + 2\sigma_1^z \right) \end{array}$$

3 qubits per gauge link

	ℓ	state
-	4	$ 000\rangle$
	3	$ 001\rangle$
	2	$ 010\rangle$
	1	$ 011\rangle$
	0	$ 100\rangle$
	-1	$ 101\rangle$
	-2	$ 110\rangle$
	-3	$ 111\rangle$
σ^+	- + ($\sigma_{0}^{-}\sigma_{1}^{+} + \sigma_{0}^{-}$

$$L^{+} = \sigma_{0}^{+} + \sigma_{0}^{-}\sigma_{1}^{+} + \sigma_{0}^{-}\sigma_{1}^{-}\sigma_{2}^{+}$$

$$L^{-} = \sigma_{0}^{-} + \sigma_{0}^{+}\sigma_{1}^{-} + \sigma_{0}^{+}\sigma_{1}^{+}\sigma_{2}^{-}$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sigma_{0}^{z} + 2\sigma_{1}^{z} + 4\sigma_{2}^{z}\right)$$

time evolution

• With 3 qubits per gauge link, we have

$$H = 22 + 2\sigma_0^z + 4\sigma_1^z + 8\sigma_2^z + 4\sigma_0^z \sigma_1^z + 8\sigma_0^z \sigma_2^z + 16\sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z -\beta^2 \left(\sigma_0^x + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0^x \sigma_1^x + \sigma_0^y \sigma_1^y) + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_0^x \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x - \sigma_0^y \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^x + \sigma_0^y \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y + \sigma_0^x \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^y)\right)$$

• Time evolution is computed by using the 2nd-order Suzuki-Trotter formula,

$$e^{-i(A+B)t} = e^{-iAt/2}e^{-iBt}e^{-iAt/2} + O(t^3)$$



Finding the ground state

Use the variational principle. Peruzzo et al, Nature Communications 5, 4213 (2014)

Our 2-qubit Hamiltonian can be written as

 $H = 4 + 12P_{\uparrow\uparrow} - 4P_{\downarrow\uparrow} - \beta^2 (2P_{\uparrow\uparrow}^x + P_{\downarrow\uparrow}^x - P_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{xx} - P_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{xx} - P_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{yy} - P_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{yy})$ where

$$P_{i} = |\langle i|\psi\rangle|^{2}, \quad P_{i}^{x} = |\langle i|R^{y}(-\frac{\pi}{2},0)\psi\rangle|^{2}, \quad P_{i}^{xx} = |\langle i|R^{y}(-\frac{\pi}{2},0)R^{y}(-\frac{\pi}{2},1)\psi\rangle|^{2}, \\ P_{i}^{yy} = |\langle i|R^{x}(\frac{\pi}{2},0)R^{x}(\frac{\pi}{2},1)\psi\rangle|^{2}.$$

A simple trial state is $|\psi\rangle = 0 |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \cos \theta |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ which can be built as follows:

- Begin with $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$.
- Apply X(1) to get $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$.
- Apply $R^{y}(2\theta, 0)$ to get $\cos \theta |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + \sin \theta |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$.
- Apply $CR^y(-\frac{\pi}{2},0,1)$ to get $|\psi\rangle$.



a row of plaquettes

Consider 7 plaquettes in a row:

The

Begin with L_1 in the 1st excited state and all others in the ground state. Compute the probability to be above the ground state.



attractive or repulsive?

Compare a single excitation (upper plot) to colliding excitations (lower plot).



10/20

as before, but with 3 qubits per gauge link



as before, but with a longer lattice

Compare a single excitation (upper plot) to colliding excitations (lower plot).



12/20

as before, but with larger eta^2



as before, but with smaller eta^2



an overview of the β^2 dependence

(This plot is made from simple eyeball estimates of peak locations on graphs.)



a 2D lattice of plaquettes

Consider 9 plaquettes in a square:



Gauss's law leaves just one independent gauge link per plaquette.

The Hamiltonian is
$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{9} \left(4L_i^2 - \beta^2 (L_i^+ + L_i^-) \right) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{6} L_i L_{i+3} -2(L_1 L_2 + L_2 L_3 + L_4 L_5 + L_5 L_6 + L_7 L_8 + L_8 L_9)$$

excitations begin in opposing corners



a 3D lattice of plaquettes

Consider 3 cubes in a row:



Gauss's law leaves fewer than one independent gauge link per plaquette. This means the Hamiltonian must contain link products in both E and B terms. The B terms are more expensive (i.e. more Pauli factors).

The diagram shown here minimizes the number of link products in B terms. Other definitions of the L_i typically lead to vastly more Pauli factors in H.

excited cubes

A single-plaquette excitation will quickly disperse on this lattice. Try exciting an entire cube. . . single excitation (upper plot), colliding excitations (lower plot)



summary

We have developed a qubit implementation of compact U(1) gauge theory. The Hamiltonian is written in terms of Pauli matrices.

A quantum variational eigensolver allows calculation of eigenvalues and eigenstates.

Propagation of an excitation is demonstrated for planar and $2 \times 2 \times N$ lattices.

On a row of plaquettes, colliding excitations are observed easily. On more general lattices, colliding excitations decohere more quickly.

randy.lewis@yorku.ca